	Case 2:20-cv-02219-KJM-CKD Docum	ent 7 Filed 01/11/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SHAUN SMITH,	No. 2:20-cv-2219 CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND
14	COUNTY OF SACRMENTO,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By order filed November 25, 2020, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty-days	
18	leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty-day period has now expired, and	
19	plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.	
20	Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the November 25, 2020 was	
21	returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court	
22	apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents	
23	at the record address of the party is fully effective.	
24	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a district court judge to this	
25	case; and	
26	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. <u>See</u>	
27	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
28	/////	1
		1

## 

1	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
2	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
3	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written	
4	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge"	
5	Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the	
6	specified time waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d	
7	1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
8	Dated: January 11, 2021 Carop U. Delany	
9	CAROLYN K. DELANEY	
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
11		
12	1	
13	smit2219.fta	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		